

## Erratum

Volume 35, No. 2 (1971), in the article "A Bifurcation problem for a Functional Differential Equation of Finitely Retarded Type," by N. Chafee, pp. 312-348, the example is incorrect, and in that which follows we shall point out the fallacy.

To construct the example we assumed the existence of a  $C^2$ -smooth function  $g: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [2, 3]$  and an infinite sequence  $\{t_j\}_{j=0}^{+\infty}$  in  $[0, +\infty)$  such that

$$\dot{g}(t) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (1)$$

$$\ddot{g}(t) e^t \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (2)$$

$$0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots, \quad (3)$$

$$t_j \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (4)$$

$$g(t_{2j}) = 2 \quad (j = 0, 1, 2, \dots), \quad (5)$$

$$g(t_{2j+1}) = 3 \quad (j = 0, 1, 2, \dots). \quad (6)$$

The fallacy is that no such function and sequence exists.

Indeed, suppose that  $g: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [2, 3]$  is a  $C^2$ -smooth function satisfying (1) and (2). Then, there exists a constant  $k > 0$  such that  $|\ddot{g}(t)| \leq Ke^{-t}$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . Hence,

$$|\dot{g}(t)| \leq \int_t^{+\infty} |\ddot{g}(s)| ds \leq Ke^{-t} \quad (0 \leq t < +\infty).$$

Now let  $\{t_j\}_{j=0}^{+\infty}$  be any sequence in  $[0, +\infty)$  satisfying (3) and (4). Then, for any integer  $j \geq 0$ , we have

$$|g(t_{2j+1}) - g(t_j)| \leq \int_{t_j}^{t_{2j+1}} |\dot{g}(t)| dt \leq Ke^{-t_j} - Ke^{-t_{2j+1}}.$$

Therefore, (5) and (6) cannot both be satisfied. Thus, we have our fallacy.

The example we are discussing was introduced in [1] in connection with Theorem 3.1 on pages 319-320. In that theorem we asserted the existence of certain  $\omega$ -limit sets  $\omega(\phi, \epsilon)$  each of which consists of one or more non-constant periodic orbits. The example was intended to show that a set  $\omega(\phi, \epsilon)$  may contain more than one such orbit. In fact, however, the opposite

is the case; each  $\omega(\phi, \epsilon)$  contains no more than one nonconstant periodic orbit. This follows from a result obtained by N. Fenichel [2, Theorem 5].

It is Dr. Fenichel who has pointed out to us the fallacy described above and we are grateful to him for clearing up this entire matter.

#### REFERENCES

1. N. CHAFEE, A bifurcation problem for a functional differential equation of finitely retarded type, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **35** (1971), 312–348.
2. N. FENICHEL, Asymptotic stability with rate conditions, to appear.